	Case 2:22-cv-01713-DMC Documen	t 4 Filed 10/03/22	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	KEVIN DUNIGAN,	No. 2:22-CV-17	13-DMC-P	
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>		
14	RAYBON C. JOHNSON, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16		_		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to			
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983.			
19	For cases such as this, which are based on federal question jurisdiction, the federal			
20	venue statute requires that the action be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any defendant			
21	resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part			
22	of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that			
23	is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be			
24	found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. See 28 U.S.C. §			
25	1391(b). Here, Plaintiff alleges in his complaint that civil rights violations occurred at California			
26	State Prison – Los Angeles County. The claim thus appear to have arisen in Los Angeles County.			
27	which is within the boundaries of the United States District Court for the Central District of			

California. Therefore, the Court finds that this action most appropriately proceeds in that district.

1	In the interest of justice, the Court will transfer this case. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).		
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the		
3	United States District Court for the Central District of California.		
4			
5	Dated: October 3, 2022		
6	DENNIS M. COTA		
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGI		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			